

## COMPROMISE at the CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION

#### Notes for Teachers

This activity is designed to help students understand the debates at the Constitutional Convention in 1787 that shaped America's legislative branch of government. The primary goal of this activity is for students to discover how a compromise balanced the needs of large states and small states and how this led to the creation of the current House of Representatives and Senate.

In contrast to the real convention, this activity is simplified and focused to come to a conclusion in a class period. Delegates went to the Constitutional Convention with many ideas and views on government. Other topics discussed at the convention included the nature of the executive and judicial branches; whether to include a Bill of Rights; and the future of slavery in the United States. This activity does not address those debates, nor does it consider the Three-Fifths Compromise. Each of those topics could easily be the basis of its own lesson.


Source material for this lesson can be found in "Constitutional Journal: Correspondent's Report from the Convention of 1787" by Jeffrey St. John.

### Instructions for Teachers and Students

#### **Instructions for Teachers**

This activity can be approached as an individual assignment or as a class activity. Students assume the roles of delegates at the Constitutional Convention. The first task is to read the Virginia and New Jersey Plans. It will be useful for students also to read the opinions of other delegates. Each delegate page includes a scale for students to record their agreement or dissent.

In the classroom, or live online, page 11 is a good place to stop and poll your students. On page 14, where the Connecticut Plan is introduced and students are asked to make a final vote, you may wish to open the classroom to debate before taking a final vote. The last two pages offer students an opportunity to sign the Constitution, just as delegates did in 1787. The first signing page allows students to add their names to the list of historic signatures. The second signing page can be used for the whole classroom to sign in a group activity.







## COMPROMISE at the CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION

#### **Instructions for Students**

In this activity, you will learn about how delegates struggled to structure a federal government that balanced the needs of the states. The year is 1787. You have been chosen to attend the Constitutional Convention in Philadelphia.

After reviewing the Articles of Confederation, you will consider the views and opinions of other delegates. You will consider two plans: the Virginia Plan and the New Jersey Plan. There is a scale at the bottom of the page for each delegate. On this scale, mark how much you agree or disagree with this plan.

Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree

How do these viewpoints shape your own opinion about what our government should look like? Will you vote for one of the presented plans, or do you have an alternative in mind?







### The Constitutional Convention, 1787


#### Welcome to Philadelphia.

America declared its independence from Great Britain here in 1776, but things have not gone smoothly since then. In important ways, the states are not acting united. If we don't do something now, our new country may fall apart. We need your help.

Will you serve as a delegate in Philadelphia to the Constitutional Convention? Will you consider the opinions and proposed plans? As you know, our country has been guided by the Articles of Confederation since 1781. Since we did not want to create a king, the framers designed our national government to be weaker and left considerable power in the hands of individual states.

While some people still support this framework, many think changes are needed. But which changes can we agree to? Others think we should create a brand new government, but what would that look like? Before you travel to Philadelphia for these debates, it's important to review what's in the Articles of Confederation:

- **1.** Each state maintains a level of independence. It is a league of 13 states working together.
- 2. There is a Congress of the Confederation that serves as a national legislature. Each state can have a delegation of two to seven members. Each delegate is chosen by the state legislature and cannot serve more than three years at a time. Each state gets one vote.
- **3.** The Congress can create a national army in a time of war, negotiate treaties and appoint foreign ambassadors.
- **4.** Congress may act as a court for disputes between the states.
- **5.** Congress can ask for money from the states but cannot tax the states.
- 6. There is no national executive or national court system.
- **7.** To pass any new law, nine states in the Congress must approve it.



### **Things to Consider**

- 1. Do we try to fix the Articles or create something brand new?
- 2. What would the new government look like?
- 3. The states vary in geographic size and population.
- 4. Will larger states, like Pennsylvania and Virginia, have more power?
- 5. Will smaller states, like New Hampshire, still have a voice?

#### It's time to get to work.

First, read over the proposed plans. Next, consider the opinions of other delegates and think about where you stand. To help you evaluate your own opinion, use the scale under each speaker to mark how much you agree or disagree with their position.




# James Madison

### Delegate from Virginia Age at Convention: 36

I believe my Virginia Plan to be the best form of government for our young country. I served briefly in the Congress under the Articles of Confederation, and I assure you that it did not work. We couldn't even get members to show up to Congress, let alone run the country. So here is what I propose:

- Make big changes to the Articles of Confederation
- Establish three branches of government: legislative, executive, and judicial
- All branches have equal power
- Bicameral legislature (meaning two legislative assemblies or houses)
- Members of the first house elected by the people of their state
- Members of the second house chosen by the first house
- The number of members in both houses based on state population
- Larger states should have greater influence
- The executive and judges would be chosen by the legislature
- The national government must have more power than the states

#### Mark your answer on the scale.



Do you agree

Mr. Madison's

Virginia Plan?

or disagree with

## Gouverneur Morris


Delegate from Pennsylvania Age at Convention: 31

I support the Virginia Plan. I have heard several members of this convention saying that we do not have the authority to create a new government, but I say to them that we must. Imagine we go to war, is it better to have one government or 13?

United behind one government we may spill less blood and spend less money. Many of you fear anarchy while others fear creating a tyrant. I say the time is now to create a new government, one where we can prevent both anarchy and dictators. It is for these reasons that many of you support changing the government. This is the time to do it.



Do you agree or disagree with Mr. Morris?




# Luther Martin

Delegate from Maryland Age at Convention: 39

I cannot support the Virginia Plan. I believe the job of our national government is to protect the power of our individual states. Mr. Madison's plan seems to forget that our states even exist. The states must be equal. I support my fellow delegates who think that each state should have one vote in the legislature.

The Virginia Plan gives a greater voice to the larger states, particularly Virginia, Pennsylvania and Massachusetts. These three states will dominate the other ten. I cannot trust the government if this to be the case.

#### Mark your answer on the scale.



Do you agree or

disagree with

Mr. Martin?

# William Paterson


### Delegate from New Jersey Age at Convention: 31

I do not care for the Virginia Plan, and so I created my own plan: the New Jersey Plan. I do not recommend major changes to the Articles of Confederation. Here's what I propose:

- Unicameral legislature (meaning one legislative assembly or house)
- One state, one vote
- Three branches of government: executive, legislative and judicial
- An executive council and national court will be selected by the legislature
- National government needs power to tax
- States must have their own power




Do you agree or disagree with Mr. Paterson's New Jersey Plan?




# John Lansing Jr.

### Delegate from New York Age at Convention: 33

My position is with Mr. Paterson from New Jersey. We cannot just introduce a radically different government all at once. Why don't we introduce changes slowly, over time? My biggest concern is that Mr. Madison's plan will take away the power of our individual states. No state will hand over their power to the national government. And for those reasons, I support the New Jersey Plan.



Do you agree or disagree with Mr. Lansing?




# Rufus King


Delegate from Massachusetts Age at Convention: 32

I do not support this New Jersey Plan. It will not give us the strong national government that we need. In my own state, Massachusetts, we had a rebellion just last year. The national government must be given the power to stop these uprisings.

If we allow all the states to be completely equal, nothing will get done and the government will continue to be weak. We must bury this New Jersey Plan.

# Do you agree or disagree with Mr. King?





### We are in a deadlock.


The small states believe the large states want power all for themselves. The large states think it isn't fair for the small states to be equal to them. Some think the Virginia Plan gives too much power to the national government, while others believe the New Jersey Plan is too weak. No one can agree. Delegates from New York are so unhappy with the options that they left the convention.

Now that you have heard from some of the delegates, would you choose the Virginia Plan, the New Jersey Plan, or neither?

### Virginia Plan or New Jersey Plan or Neutral

(CIRCLE ONE)

Briefly explain your answer.




Word is spreading that Roger Sherman and Oliver Ellsworth, from Connecticut, are writing a new plan to break the stalemate. **Let's hear about their plan.** 


# Roger Sherman

### Delegate from Connecticut Age at Convention: 66

We must have compromise if we are to achieve anything. Here is what Mr. Ellsworth and I propose in our **Connecticut Compromise**. We should have a bicameral legislature as suggested in the Virginia Plan. However, the lower house will reflect each state's population, giving larger states more seats. In the upper house, each state, no matter its size, will get two representatives. This will give the smaller states equal voices. Hopefully this compromise will help balance the needs of both large and small states.



# Do you agree or disagree with Mr. Sherman's plan?





It is time for you to decide. Your vote is needed.

#### Which plan do you like the most?

Virginia Plan, New Jersey Plan, or the Connecticut Compromise.

(CIRCLE ONE)

In the space below, write your support for one of the three plans. You may add your own proposal if you do not support one of the three plans. **SEPTEMBER 17, 1787:** After months of debate, we finally have a Constitution. Ultimately, Mr. Sherman and Mr. Ellsworth's compromise broke the stalemate. We have created a government with three branches: legislative, executive, and judicial. Our legislature has a House of Representatives, based on state population, and a Senate with two senators per state. Our national government is stronger, but the states still have powers of their own. It is time to let the people decide and ratify our document.

But first, will you sign? Are you willing to put your name on this document with the other delegates? Add your signature on the following page.



one in Convention by the Unanimous Consent of the States present the Seventeenth day of September in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and Eighty seven and of the Independence of the United States of America the Twelfth. In witness whereof We have hereunto subscribed our Names,

Delaware Grafin Medford pin New Hampshire John Langen Delaware John Dichnison New Hampshire Micholas Gelman Micholas Gelman Jack Balacht James Wittenny Massachusetts (Nathaniel Gorham Rufus Kmg Maryland Denverf Stadlenifer Dan't Gorroll Connecticut (Mi Jam, Johnson Ruger Sherman Virginia John Blair -James Makin Jr. New York ... Alexander Hamilton North Carolina (M. Bloumt Maight. New Jorsey (M. Livingston David Vice arley Multitianson South Carolina (Charles Charles Cinchney Dissu Ditti. (M. Livingston David Vice arley Mr. Livingston Jona: Dayton Jona: Dayton (M. Livingston Jona: Dayton Jona: Dayton Charles Cinchney Dissu Ditti. Thomas Mitting Georgia William Shew Abr Bulewin Jea Augmen The' FingSimin Sard Ingersoll Sames Milson Pennsylvania Gow Motors Sign Here\_

done in Convention by the Unanimous Consent of the States present the Seventeenth day of September in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and Eighty seven and of the Independence of the United States of America the Twelfth. In witness whereof We have hereunto subscribed our Names,

Grapher for - President and deputy from Virginia